



UNIVERSITY SURVEY OF TOBACCO CONTROL

MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY | 2011

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This survey was made possible through support provided by the Mississippi State Department of Health. The opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Mississippi State Department of Health.

The survey instrument design was based on an extensive review of extant instruments such as the Social Climate Survey of Tobacco Control, the Current Population Survey – Tobacco Use Supplement, the National College Health Risk Behavior Survey, the Youth Tobacco Survey, the Harvard School of Public Health College Alcohol Survey, and was supplemented by additional relevant items.

METHODS


Researchers at the Social Science Research Center at Mississippi State University (MSU) administered a web-based survey to university students at MSU. This web-based approach has extraordinary potential as the university provides internet access to students in multiple settings and issues each student an e-mail account. Moreover, students rely on e-mail and the internet to communicate with peers, professors, and university administrators.

Information Technology Services at MSU provided 14,602 university student e-mail addresses. Of these 14,602 students, 978 completed the survey. All respondents were 18 years of age or older and university students at MSU during the fall 2010 term. Respondents were screened before beginning the survey and students who were not yet 18 years of age or not currently taking at least one course during the fall 2010 term were not allowed to continue the survey.

Each student in the sample received an e-mail asking for their participation in the survey. Students who chose to participate clicked on an embedded URL address that linked the student to the survey web site. Respondents who did not complete the survey in one session were able to restart the survey at the last question answered. Up to two reminder e-mails were sent requesting the student's continued participation. Two reminder emails were also sent to each student who did not respond to the initial request for participation. No more than three emails were sent to any student in the sample.


Several precautions were taken to protect the privacy of respondents. First, personal identifiers (e-mail addresses) were kept separate from the information collected from each respondent. This was accomplished by placing each in a separate database. The only common identifier to both databases was a respondent ID number. This identifier was destroyed as soon as data collection was complete. Second, security protocols were in place to protect the anonymity of the respondents. Linking the data collected from a student to their email address would require access to the three separate systems: 1) The Survey Research Unit server which requires an account on the server, a login ID, and a password, 2) The sample database which requires a different login ID and password, and 3) The questionnaire database which requires another and different login ID and password. This approach has been successfully applied by the Survey Research Unit at the Social Science Research Center to collect data via web-based surveys while protecting the confidentiality of the respondents.

POPULATION&SAMPLE



INDIVIDUAL PRACTICES

& EXPOSURE TO TOBACCO SMOKE



NO SMOKING ALLOWED IN ANY PART OF HOME

• The percentage of students who live in a household where smoking is not allowed in any part of the home was significantly higher for white students (90.3%) than for black students (84.8%), p = .003.

DO NOT LIVE WITH SMOKERS

■ The percentage of students who do not live with a smoker was significantly higher for black students (83.0%) than for white students (74.8%), p = .001, and significantly higher for female students (77.8%) than for male students (72.3%), p = .002.

WERE NOT IN SAME ROOM WITH SMOKERS IN PAST SEVEN DAYS

The percentage of students who were not in the same room as someone who was smoking in the past seven days was significantly higher for black students (63.9%) than for white students (52.9%), p = .002, and significantly higher for non-Greek students (56.1%) than for Greek students (47.6%), p = .001.

DID NOT RIDE IN VEHICLE WITH SMOKER IN PAST SEVEN DAYS


■ The percentage of students who were not in a vehicle with someone who was smoking in the past seven days was significantly higher for female students (71.0%) than for male students (63.0%), p = .005, and significantly higher for black students (79.7%) than for white students (65.5%), p < .001.

HAVE NO SMOKING FRIENDS AMONG FOUR CLOSEST FRIENDS

• The percentage of students who have no friends that smoke among their four closest friends was significantly higher for female students (45.7%) than for male students (37.2%), p < .001, and significantly higher for black students (60.9%) than for white students (39.3%), p < .001.

SUPPORT

FOR TOBACCO CONTROL POLICIES



SUPPORT NO SMOKING WITHIN 25-FEET OF A DOORWAY ON CAMPUS

 The percentage of students who support prohibiting smoking within 25-feet of a doorway on campus was significantly higher for female students (89.5%) than for male students (77.2%), p < .001, and significantly higher for black students (88.9%) than for white students (82.6%), p = .011.

SUPPORT NO SMOKING IN BUILDINGS ON CAMPUS

 The percentage of students who support prohibiting smoking in every building on campus was significantly higher for female students (97.1%) than for male students (93.8%), p < .001.

SUPPORT NO SMOKING IN ALL AREAS OF CAMPUS

 The percentage of students who support prohibiting smoking in all areas of campus was significantly higher for female students (80.1%) than for male students (59.5%), p <.001, and significantly higher for black students (83.5%) than for white students (68.1%), p < .001.

SUPPORT STRICTER CAMPUS TOBACCO POLICY ENFORCEMENT


 The percentage of students who support stricter enforcement of tobacco policies on campus was significantly higher for female students (90.9%) than for male students (73.7%), p < .001, and significantly higher for black students (94.8%) than for white students (81.1%), p < .001.

SUPPORT NO TOBACCO COMPANY SPONSORSHIP OF SCHOOL EVENTS

 The percentage of students who support prohibiting tobacco company sponsorship of school events was significantly higher for female students (82.8%) than for male students (64.5%), p < .001, and significantly higher for black students (85.3%) than for white students (72.9%), p < .001.

SMOKE-FREE POLICIES

IN THE COMMUNITY




Have Not Been Exposed Inside of a Public Place in the Past Seven Days

Support No Smoking on Campus within 25-Feet of a Doorway

Support Prohibiting Smoking in Outdoor Seating Areas of Restaurants

Support Smoke-free Outdoor Parks



HAVE WITNESSED A VIOLATION OF LOCAL SMOKE-FREE ORDINANCES IN PAST THREE MONTHS

No significant differences

HAVE NOT BEEN EXPOSED TO SMOKE IN A PUBLIC PLACE IN PAST SEVEN DAYS

■ The percentage of students who have not been exposed to smoke in a public place in the past seven days was significantly higher for female students (16.6%) than for male students (13.2%), p = .006, and significantly higher for black students (29.9%) than for white students (12.7%), p < .001.

SUPPORT NO SMOKING ON CAMPUS WITHIN 25-FEET OF A DOORWAY

■ The percentage of students who support prohibiting smoking on campus within 25-feet of a doorway was significantly higher for female students (89.5%) than for male students (77.2%), p < .001, and significantly higher for black students (88.9%) than for white students (82.6%), p = .014.


SUPPORT PROHIBITING SMOKING IN OUTDOOR SEATING AREAS OF RESTAURANTS

■ The percentage of students who support prohibiting smoking in outdoor seating areas of restaurants was significantly higher for female students (48.4%) than for male students (35.7%), p < .001, and significantly higher for black students (44.5%) than for white students (42.5%), p = .014.

SUPPORT PROHIBITING SMOKING IN OUTDOOR PARKS

■ The percentage of students who support prohibiting smoking in outdoor parks was significantly higher for female students (31.8%) than for male students (19.9%), p < .001, and significantly higher for black students (32.7%) than for white students (25.1%), p = .005.





RECOGNIZE SMOKING 1-5 CIGARETTES A DAY IS HARMFUL

■ The percentage of students who recognize that smoking 1-5 cigarettes a day is harmful was significantly higher for female students (98.7%) than for male students (97.0%), p = .006, and significantly higher for black students (99.6%) than for white students (97.8%), p < .001, and significantly higher for non-Greek students (98.6%) than for Greek students (95.5%), p = .012.

RECOGNIZE SECOND-HAND SMOKE IS HARMFUL

• The percentage of students who recognize that second-hand smoke is harmful was significantly higher for female students (98.6%) than for male students (91.2%), p < .001.

RECOGNIZE SMOKING ONLY 1-2 YEARS IS NOT SAFE

■ The percentage of students who recognize that smoking for only 1-2 years is not safe was significantly higher for female students (90.2%) than for male students (80.9%), p < .001, and significantly higher for black students (91.1%) than for white students (85.2%), p = .01, and significantly higher for non-Greek students (86.7%) than for Greek students (81.5%), p = .007.


WERE INFORMED BY DOCTOR ABOUT THE DANGERS OF SMOKING IN PAST 12 MONTHS

• The percentage of students who have been informed by their doctor about the dangers of smoking in the past twelve months was significantly higher for female students (22.8%) than for male students (19.8%), p <.001.

WERE INFORMED BY DENTIST ABOUT THE DANGERS OF SMOKING IN PAST 12 MONTHS

The percentage of students who have been informed by their dentist about the dangers of smoking in the past twelve months was significantly higher for male students (13.7%) than for female students (8.5%), p < .001, and significantly higher for white students (11.1%) than for black students (9.6%), p < .001, and significantly higher for Greek students (14.5%) than non-Greek students (14.5%), p < .001.

MASS MEDIA




HAVE SEEN ONE OR MORE ANTI-SMOKING ADS ON TELEVISION IN PAST 30 DAYS

No significant differences

HAVE SEEN OR HEARD COMMERCIALS ABOUT THE DANGERS OF SMOKING ON TV, RADIO, OR INTERNET IN PAST 30 DAYS

No significant differences

CIGARETTE SMOKING



EVER SMOKER - HAVE TRIED AT LEAST ONE PUFF OF A CIGARETTE

■ The percentage of students who are ever smokers was significantly higher for male students (66.9%) than for female students (56.0%), p < .001, significantly higher for white students (64.2%) than for black students (43.1%), p < .001, and significantly higher for Greek students (70.1%) than for non-Greek students (59.1%), p < .001.

CURRENT SMOKER - HAVE SMOKED ON ONE OR MORE DAYS OF THE PAST 30 DAYS

■ The percentage of students who are current smokers was significantly higher for male students (33.0%) than for female students (19.4%), p < .001, significantly higher for white students (28.7%) than for black students (9.3%), p < .001, and significantly higher for Greek students (31.7%) than for non-Greek students (24.4), p = .002.

FREQUENT SMOKERS - HAVE SMOKED ON 20 OR MORE DAYS OF THE PAST 30 DAYS

■ The percentage of students who are frequent smokers was significantly higher for male students (13.3%) than for female students (8.9%), p = .001, and significantly higher for white students (12.3%) than for black students (3.2%), p < .001.


OTHER TOBACCO USE

CURRENT SMOKELESS TOBACCO USER - HAVE USED CHEWING TOBACCO, SNUFF, OR DIP ON ONE OR MORE DAYS OF THE PAST 30 DAYS

 The percentage of students who are current SLT users was significantly higher for male students (13.3%) than for female students (0.1%), p < .001, significantly higher for white students (7.2%) than for black students (0.0%), p < .001, and significantly higher for Greek students (10.8%) than for non-Greek students (4.6%), p < .001.



 The percentage of students who are frequent SLT users was significantly higher for male students (11.0%) than for female students (0.1%), p < .001, and



significantly higher for white students (6.2%) than for black students (0.0%), p < .001.

CURRENT CIGAR SMOKER - HAVE SMOKED A CIGAR, CIGARILLO, OR LITTLE CIGAR ON ONE OR MORE OF THE PAST 30 DAYS

• The percentage of students who are current cigar smokers was significantly higher for male students (20.7%) than for female students (5.8%), p < .001, and significantly higher for Greek students (16.4%) than for non-Greek students (11.9%), p = .014.

FREQUENT CIGAR SMOKER - HAVE SMOKED A CIGAR, CIGARILLO, OR LITTLE CIGAR ON ONE OR MORE OF THE PAST 30 DAYS

 The percentage of students who are frequent cigar smokers was significantly higher for male students (.9%) than for female students (.2%), p < .012, and significantly higher for black students (2.9%) than for white students (.2%), p < .000.


EVER TRIED SNUS - HAS TRIED SNUS, SUCH AS CAMEL OR MARLBORO SNUS

 The percentage of students who have tried snus was significantly higher for male students (27.0%) than for female students (2.1%), p < .000, significantly higher for white students (16.2%) than for black students (1.1%), p < .000, and significantly higher for Greek students (18.7%) than for non-Greek students (12.3%), p=.001.

EVER TRIED E-CIGARETTE

• The percentage of students who have tried an E-Cigarette was significantly higher for male students (8.3%) than for female students (3.8%), p<.000.

SMOKING CESSATION



CURRENT SMOKERS WHO HAVE EVER PARTICIPATED IN A QUIT PROGRAM

No significant differences

CURRENT SMOKERS WHO NEVER EXPECT TO QUIT

No significant differences

CURRENT SMOKERS WHO HAVE NOT QUIT FOR MORE THAN 24 HOURS IN PAST 12 MONTHS

No significant differences

STUDENTS WHO STOPPED SMOKING FOR MORE THAN A DAY IN AN ATTEMPT TO QUIT

No significant differences

CONTACT INFORMATION

Robert McMillen, Ph.D. Social Science Research Center Mississippi State University

One Research Park, Suite 103 Starkville, MS 39759

For more information visit

P: 662.325.7127

F: 662.325.7966

MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY DOES NOT DISCRIMINATE ON THE BASIS OF RACE, COLOR, RELIGION, NATIONAL ORIGIN, SEX, AGE, DISABILITY, OR VETERAN STATUS. THIS REPORT IS FUNDED BY A GRANT OF THE MISSISSIPPI STATE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.







MISSISSIPPI STATE